

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

A

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/866,607	05/30/2001	Tomoharu Umekawa	Q64367	5522
7590 12/30/2003			EXAMINER	
SUGHRUE, MION, ZINN, MACPEAK & SEAS, PLLC 2100 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.			ROBINSON, GRETA LEE	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER .
Washington, DC 20037-3213			2177	
			DATE MAILED: 12/30/2003	; <i>(</i>

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
•	09/866,607	UMEKAWA, TOMOHARU				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Greta L. Robinson	2177				
The MAILING DATE of this communication		vith the correspondence address				
Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR F THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicat  - If the period for reply specified above, the maximum statutory  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	CION.  CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a cion.  s, a reply within the statutory minimum of the period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC y statute, cause the application to become a	a reply be timely filed irty (30) days will be considered timely. DNTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status	20 May 2004					
<u> </u>	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is non-final.  Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice un						
Disposition of Claims						
)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5</u> is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
i) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	6) Claim(s) <u>1-5</u> is/are rejected.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction	and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on 30 May 2001 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	the Examiner. Note the attach	ed Office Action of John 1 10-102.				
	forcian priority under 25 H.C.C	\$ 440(a) (d) az (f)				
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> <li>13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet.</li> <li>37 CFR 1.78.</li> <li>a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.</li> <li>14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet.</li> <li>37 CFR 1.78.</li> </ul>						
	The opposition of the array	The second of th				
Attachment(s)	<b>,, □</b>	Output (DTO 440) Described				
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-93)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper</li> </ol>	948) 5) Notice o	v Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)  f Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. Claims 1-5 are pending in the present application.

## **Priority**

2. Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

## Information Disclosure Statement

3. The information disclosure statement (IDS) submitted on April 1, 2003 has been considered by the examiner note attached copy.

## Drawings

4. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the data provision device [note independent claims 1 and 5] must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

5. The drawings are objected to because descriptive textual labels are needed for the following elements in figure 1, element 12, 16 and 20. [Note element 12 is the

Application/Control Number: 09/866,607

Art Unit: 2177

mobile phone see specification page 7 line 24-25, element 16 is the network see page 7 line 25, and element 20 is the charge management database see page 7 line 26]. A

proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office

action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not

be held in abeyance.

6. The drawings are objected to because figure 7 typographical error

"IDEHTIFYING" should read "IDENTIFYING". A proposed drawing correction or

corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of

the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Objections

7. The claims are objected to because the words are crowded too closely together,

making reading and entry of amendments difficult. Substitute claims with lines one and

one-half or double spaced on good quality paper are required. See 37 CFR 1.52(b).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

8. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 09/866,607 Page 4

Art Unit: 2177

9. Claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Regarding claim 1, the following phrase is vague: "table storage means ... is completed are associated with each other" [note claim 1, lines 7-10].

Regarding claim 1, the following phrase is vague: "determination means ... is completed ... information reading means" [note claim 1, lines 15-19].

Claim 5 recites the limitation "the acquired user identifying information from a table in which the user identifying information and the data identifying information" in lines 6-10. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claims 2-4 are rejected based on dependency.

10. Claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being incomplete for omitting essential structural cooperative relationships of elements, such omission amounting to a gap between the necessary structural connections. See MPEP § 2172.01. The omitted structural cooperative relationships are: the preamble limitation and the limitation in the body of the claims, note the "data provision device" [claim 1 lines 1, and claim 5 line 1] this element is defined as the data distribution server (18) and the charge management database (20), note specification page 9 lines 5-6. The body of the independent claims do not appear to include this feature, nor is there a structure in figure 1 depicting this interface and/or device.

Application/Control Number: 09/866,607 Page 5

Art Unit: 2177

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

11. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

12. Claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Feibelman et al. US Patent 6,499,017 B1.

Regarding claim 1, Feibelman et al. teaches "a data provision device for providing a user with data" note communication devices interface element 40 figure 1; "a data provision request reception means for receiving data" note network and I/O ports element 21 figure 3; "table storage means" note col. 5 lines 15-27; "data identifying information reading means for reading out from said table identifying information" see col. 5 lines 15-27; "determination means" note provisioning engine 30, figure 1; and "data transmission means" see figure 2, I/O ports.

Regarding claims 2-4, Feibelman et al. teaches list generation means and list transmission means note figure 3 (35); a charge process see order database (32) figure 3; and information acquisition means also note figure 3 (32).

Application/Control Number: 09/866,607

Art Unit: 2177

13. The limitations of claim 5 parallel claim 1, therefore it is rejected under the same rationale.

#### Conclusion

14. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Salo et al. US Patent 6,456,995 B1

Athavale et al. US Patent 6,539,386 B1

Nakada US Patent 5,809,298

Abe et al. US Patent 6,052,695

Teper et al. US Patent 5,815,665

Sakamaki et al. US Patent 6,658,410 B1

· Baker US Patent 6,473,741 B1

Itakura et al. US Patent 6,351,745 B1

15. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Greta L. Robinson whose telephone number is (703) 308-7565. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Fri. 9:30AM-6:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John E. Breene can be reached on (703) 305-9790. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703)872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-9600.

PRIMARY EXAMINER

Greta Robinson Primary Examiner December 26, 2003